

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.spolic.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/222,336	12/28/1998	GUY A. STORY JR.	80293/40	3308
20277 7590 0408/2009 MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP 600 13TH STREET, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			RETTA, YEHDEGA	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3622	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/08/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte: GUY A. STORY, JR., ROZSA E. KOVESDI, AJIT V. RAJASEKHARAN, and BENJAMIN CHE-MING JUN

Application No. 09/222,336 Technology Center 3600

Mailed: April 8, 2009

Before ERIC W. HAWTHORNE Supervisory Paralegal Specialist HAWTHORNE, Supervisory Paralegal Specialist.

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on March 17, 2009. A review of the application revealed that it is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the Examiner to address the following matter(s) requiring attention prior to docketing.

APPEAL BRIEF, HEADINGS

A review of the file indicates that the Appeal Brief filed August 20, 2008 does not comply with 37 CFR § 41.37(c) because it does not contain all required heading items. Specifically, 37 CFR § 41.37 (c)(1) requires the following heading items in the following order:

- (i) Real party in interest.
- (ii) Related appeals and interferences.
- (iii) Status of claims.
- (iv) Status of amendments.
- (v) Summary of claimed subject matter.
- (vi) Grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal.
- (vii) Argument.
- (viii) Claims appendix.
- (ix) Evidence appendix.
- (x) Related proceedings appendix.

Upon an in-depth review of the Appeal Brief indicates that the following sections are missing from the Appeal Brief filed August 8, 2008 and/or are not complete:

- (ix) Evidence appendix.
- (x) Related proceedings appendix.

A substitute brief that is in compliance with § 41.37(c) is required. See also Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP) § 1205.02 (8th ed. Rev. 6, Sept 2007) for details.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is returned to the Examiner:

- 1) to hold the Appeal Brief filed August 20, 2008 defective;
- 2) to notify the Appellant to file a substitute Appeal Brief in compliance with 37 CFR § 41.37;
 - 3) for the Examiner to consider the substitute Appeal Brief; and
 - 4) for such further action as may be appropriate.

If there are any questions pertaining to this Order, please contact the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences at 571-272-9797.

EWH/nhl

MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP 600 13TH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON DC 20005-3096